



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,683	07/28/2008	Joseph P. Glynn	GLYJ 8885W1	4323
1688	7590	03/25/2009	EXAMINER	
POLSTER, LIEDER, WOODRUFF & LUCCHESI			FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR	
12412 POWERSCOURT DRIVE SUITE 200				
ST. LOUIS, MO 63131-3615			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/25/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/585,683	GLYNN, JOSEPH P.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Omar Flores-Sánchez	3724

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 March 2009.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-13, 18-21 and 25-28 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-8, 14-17, 22, 23 and 29 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ . |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/10/06</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ . |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 9-13, 18-21 and 25-28 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 03/02/09.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-2, 4-6, 8, 22, 23 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by James (2,593,760).

James discloses (Fig. 1-12) the invention including a frame including two spaced arms 10 and 11, a handle 35 and 37, at least two blade guides 41, a blade 47, a motor 21, a power supply (see the cord connected to the motor 21), a tension device (see Fig. 6), a gear system (see Fig. 8), a bevel gear 24, a driven gear 25 and a switch (inherently disclosed) and frame is Y-shaped (see Fig. 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over James (2,593,760).

James discloses the claimed invention except that an adjustment screw 32, block 14 and plate 34 instead of an adjustment screw connected to rollers and a nut. Because these two systems were art-recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute adjustment screw 32, block 14 and plate 34 for an adjustment screw connected to rollers and a nut in order to quickly tension the blade.

6. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over James (2,593,760) in view of Halsey (5,388,334).

James discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for a cover. However, Halsey teaches the use of a cover 14 for the purpose of protecting the gear system (see Fig. 3). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of James by providing the cover as taught by Halsey in order to obtain a device that protects the gear system.

7. Claims 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over James (2,593,760) in view of Halsey (5,388,334).

James discloses the invention substantially as claimed including a frame including two spaced arms 10 and 11, at least two blade guides 41, a blade 47, a drive source 21, a power source (see the cord connected to the motor 21), a tension device (see Fig. 6) and a gear system (see Fig. 8). James doesn't show a housing defining a handle. However, Halsey teaches the use of a housing defining a handle 14 for the purpose of having a more comfortable grip. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of James by providing the cover as taught by Halsey in order to obtain a device that have a more comfortable grip. Halsey teaches the drive source mounted in the housing (see Fig. 3).

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Strzalka, Figueredo et al., Lofgren and Niksich are cited to show related device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 571-272-4507. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/O. F./
Examiner, Art Unit 3724
3/15/2009

/Boyer D. Ashley/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3724